Jonathan Gunasingham

Website Design, 2021, Spring term

Professional Website – Jonathan Gunasingham

**I. Description of Testers**

The testers of the website were close acquaintances of the website creator. They all had professional backgrounds that ranged in variety, from social work to engineering to research, however they all had moderate website design experience which allowed them to make specific recommendations on specific elements of the website. This made for constructive feedback that was taken into strong consideration. It is unknown to what level the testers formally assess and evaluate individual professional websites, however their occupations involve them interacting and informally assessing the websites of several clients and stakeholders which created for valued and effective feedback.

**II. Description of Test Design**

Each tester was told to take no more than 10 minutes on browsing the website in order to focus on their initial impressions and the most pressing and prominent issues and aspects for the creator to adjust. Additionally since the website was on the more basic end of design, thorough analysis of the components and code was not required. Evaluations were provided on three areas: Design, Content, and Credibility/Professionalism. Each section was then broken down into various aspects that comprised the entire area and was rated on a scale of 1 to 5 using agree statements on a scale from Strongly Disagree to Strongly agree. The testers were not observed during the process, nor were they interacted with, except for one instance when one tester texted to inquire about the creator’s familiarity with a design element. All testers provided written feedback especially regarding the elements they rated either 3 or below.

**III. Analysis and Findings of Testing Results**

***Description of Assessment Measurement***


**The scores provided by each tester were put into a chart and summed to determine the total points earned out of the total points possible (five multiplied by the total amount of subcategories in a section). This score was then converted to a percentage for better clarity of the overall rating of the section. The percentages for each tester were also averaged in order to get an overall rating of each section and to better compare the assessments of each tester. The total points of each section were then summed together and converted to a percentage based on the total maximum amount of points in order to get an overall rating of the website by each user. This rating was also averaged between each used to get an overall assessment of the website and a comparison between each user’s overall assessment. The data is summarized in the Data Summary section below.**

***Data Summary***

**Percent Evaluations by Test Users\***

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | **User 1** |  | **User 2** | **User 3** | ***Average*** |
| **Design (% score)** | 75.6 |  | 66.7 | 77.8 | 73.3 |
| **Content (% score)** | 85.7 |  | 77.1 | 82.9 | 81.9 |
| **Credibility (% score)** | 76.7 |  | 66.7 | 76.7 | 73.3 |
| ***Overall (% score)*** | ***79*** |  | ***70*** | ***79*** | **76.1** |

**\*Full Ratings found in Appendix B**

****

***Feedback Summary***

**Feedback was gathered for every section where potential improvements were mentioned and organized in a similar chart that housed the raw data. This allowed for easy comparison of the sections and issues that were most mentioned by the testers.**

**The most common was the broken links for the contact and experience pages which have not been built yet. Additionally comments about the sizing of the font were common, and more adjusting with the flexbox elements to make the auto adjusting intuitive will need to occur.**

**Full feedback can be found in the evaluation reports in Appendix A and the feedback summary in Appendix B.**

**Proposed Solutions to Correct Issues in Findings**

The readability of the footer was a commonly mentioned issue among the testers. Part of the issue is the image being used, however the desire to keep that exists in order to emphasize some of the history and background of the creator. The font color was changed to black and the text was bolded which overall greatly improved readability.

Adjusting the dimensions included in the CSS for the responsive text will make the sizing of the text and images feel more appropriate based on screen size. This must be done through trial and error and an iterative process.

Testing of the video file works when opened from the computer folder, but not in the actual website. Different formats of embedding may be tested, such as uploading as a private link to YouTube, in order to get the video to appear online.

The background of the website may be adjusted in order to make website feel fuller. Background color may be changed to black and font color may be changed to white.

Aspects of the color scheme were criticized, however, aside from changing the background and text color, no significant choices will be made. The colors were chosen intentionally to reflect the tone and demeanor valued by the creator, as well as to honor some important aspects of the creator’s past. Overall, color scheme was found to be satisfactory by the creator.

**Appendix A Copy of Testing Tool Used** 

Website Evaluation Form

**Instructions for use:**

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

*Before you distribute this form to your evaluators,* you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

**I. General Information**

1. URL of site evaluated https://jgunasingham.github.io/islt\_7310/index.html\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. Author of site evaluated: Jonathan Gunasingham
3. Evaluator’s first name:­­\_\_Mike\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**II.** **Web site was evaluated on the following system**

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):

Processor Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-6300U CPU @ 2.40GHz 2.50 GHz

System type 64-bit operating system, x64-based processor

I’m running Windows 10 but I viewed the website in my virtual office. It shouldn’t really affect anything though.

1. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)

Google Chrome Version 90.0.4430.212 (64-bit)

1. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):

I do a guest connection to my wi-fi.

**III Design and Development**

**Instruction**: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **DESIGN** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  I mean this is pretty straight forward. You have a nav bar at the type divided into what I would expect to find on a website about your career. | | | | | |
| 1. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  I feel like the background colors and too bright and there are some floating quotes which I’m not used to in a website. I’m also wondering if you had to put that into the website as part of the assignment. Haha. I also think the font size is too big. I JUST SAW THE FOOTER!! Jon, that’s horrible. I would do something a little different with a solid blue line to match the title bar colors at the top with white text for your contact information. Then below that I’d make a site map so people can get back to where they want to go really quickly. You could even move your contact information to buttons. Check out my company’s website at their footer. You don’t need a picture but something like that: <https://helpingpeople.org/>. | | | | | |
| 1. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Nav bar in logical place. Lots of links to other pages of your website. Nav bar never goes away. I like it. | | | | | |
| 1. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Yes. It is. Nav bar never goes away. | | | | | |
| 1. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Personally I wouldn’t have so many but that’s ok. | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  I’m giving this a one for two reasons: your video resume wasn’t linked appropriately so it was a 404 error. And because when I clicked the link for your paper resume it didn’t open in a new link. That’s like a pet-peeve of mine for links taking you to an external page. <a href=”resume.pdf” target=”\_blank”>here</a>. | | | | | |
| 1. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  I’m too lazy to check all the images. The couple I looked at didn’t have height attributes. But you don’t really need to do that from my understanding. | | | | | |
| 1. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Yes | | | | | |
| 1. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

Yes

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **CONTENT** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Title bars exist. | | | | | |
| 1. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Yes. Links are appropriate and good. | | | | | |
| 1. Links are up-to-date and functional. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Some of your links are broken. All references to the Contact page or the three subpages under Professional Experience are broken. I took a look at the code and it’s right so I’m not sure what happened. | | | | | |
| 1. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  This is true. But if this website is tailored toward parents/students/future jobs I would put your resume on the first page. | | | | | |
| 1. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Who wouldn’t want to come back again and again to read about Jon? | | | | | |
| 1. Information is accurate and current. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  I absolutely hope so. | | | | | |
| 1. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  This is very clearly a website about Jon as a teacher for students/parents/future jobs. | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **CREDIBILITY** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  I mean, he made it about himself. | | | | | |
| 1. Contact person with e-mail address is included. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  I mean, yes it is. BUT the Contact page is broken and you can’t see the email in the footer. | | | | | |
| 1. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Yes. | | | | | |
| 1. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Yes | | | | | |
| 1. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Some mistakes here and there. | | | | | |
| 1. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  No dates that I can see. | | | | | |

Website Evaluation Form

**Instructions for use:**

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

*Before you distribute this form to your evaluators,* you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

**I. General Information**

1. URL of site evaluated https://jgunasingham.github.io/islt\_7310/index.html\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. Author of site evaluated: Jonathan Gunasingham

1. Evaluator’s first name:\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_Lauren\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**II.** **Web site was evaluated on the following system**

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):

**Dell running with Windows 10**

1. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)

**Google Chrome**

1. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):

**DSL**

**III Design and Development**

**Instruction**: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **DESIGN** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **Logical and I like the layout but several of the links do not currently work, mostly on the professional experiences page and contact link** | | | | | |
| 1. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **I would center your Welcome banner text to match your navigation buttons.** | | | | | |
| 1. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **Links to social media don’t work currently. And there is an error message for your video on your “About Me” page** | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **See above feedback** | | | | | |
| 1. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **yes, but it really messes with your text boxes the way it’s setup** | | | | | |
| 1. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. | 1 | **2** | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **I don’t love the blue background with purple text once it is highlighted. Hard to read.** | | | | | |
| 1. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made.   **Just need all links to work** | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **CONTENT** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose. | 1 | 2 | **3** | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Links are up-to-date and functional. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **Many of your links do not currently work** | | | | | |
| 1. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **It’s in-depth but I’m not sure why they would need to return?** | | | | | |
| 1. Information is accurate and current. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **CREDIBILITY** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Contact person with e-mail address is included. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **It’s in your professional resume..too many layers deep to be convenient like all other info. Not currently working on contact link. I think it’s listed in your bottom of the page banner but I can’t read it with the current text.** | | | | | |
| 1. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **I don’t really know how to check this one.** | | | | | |
| 1. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **Minor detail: Your resume includes past tense verbage for your job at Hazelwood. Since you work there now, those items should be listed in the present tense.** | | | | | |
| 1. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  **Again, I think this is in your lower page banner but I can’t read it.** | | | | | |

Website Evaluation Form

**Instructions for use:**

This form is intended to serve as a starting point for the form you will distribute to your web site evaluators.

*Before you distribute this form to your evaluators,* you should complete Section I General Information with the URL of your web site and your name.

**I. General Information**

1. URL of site evaluated https://jgunasingham.github.io/islt\_7310/index.html\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_
2. Author of site evaluated: Jonathan Gunasingham
3. Evaluator’s first name:Philip Woodson\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_\_

**II.** **Web site was evaluated on the following system**

1. Type of computer (Provide as much information as possible, i.e., Sony PC running Windows 7, Intel Pentium 4 , 2.80 GHz, 4 MB RAM):

Dell 7710

1. Browser (e.g., Internet Explorer 10.0, Safari 7.0)

Chrome Version 89.0.4389.128

1. Internet connection (e.g., cable modem, DSL, corporate or institutional):

Cable

**III Design and Development**

**Instruction**: For each of the questions below, rate your answer between one (strongly disagree) to five (strongly agree), and **explain your rating for the clarification**. Please take the time to explain your rationale in this form as it will help me write my Evaluation Report.

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **DESIGN** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Document is subdivided into logical supporting pages or, if presented as a single page, it offers a table of contents with section links to avoid scrolling through entire document. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. The site displays sound design principles, including uncluttered space, subdued backgrounds, and coordinated colors. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Font sizes are somewhat inconsistent.  I would like to see the maximum width for the pages main text limited so the text does not fill the whole screen when the window is maximized. Same for the banners.  Some of the images expand and shrink with the window. This can be nice, but restrict your image sizes to so can’t get too big.  I don’t like the colors, but what do I know about colors?  Horizontal scrolling banner? Delete it. | | | | | |
| 1. Navigation is intuitive, easy to understand, and provides flexibility in movement. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Flow of the website is nice. | | | | | |
| 1. Homepage is linked from all subsequent pages. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Multimedia elements have a clear purpose. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
| 1. Multimedia elements load quickly or file size is indicated with user option to download. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  The video resume did not load. Everything else is fine. | | | | | |
| 1. Images include alt, height, and width attributes and offer initial thumbnail graphic if file size is large. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Text is easy to read and contrasts with background. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Weird font sizes | | | | | |
| 1. Site content is widely accessible or appropriate adaptations or explanations have been made. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |

The links for your experiences do not work

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **CONTENT** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Pages have clear and appropriate title in title bar. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Links are appropriate, clearly labeled, and have a definite purpose. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Links are up-to-date and functional. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  The 3 experience links and contact link do not work | | | | | |
| 1. Useful content is embedded no further than two layers deep. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Site contains in-depth content that encourages users to return. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Maybe i would return if you embedded some yt videos of tobby z. | | | | | |
| 1. Information is accurate and current. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Site stimulates thinking and reflection or serves a useful purpose for an identified audience. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |

|  |  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | Strongly Disagree | Disagree | Neutral | Agree | Strongly Agree |
| **CREDIBILITY** |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1. Credibility is established by including information regarding author, affiliations, and credentials. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Contact person with e-mail address is included. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  Your email is at the bottom but it is very difficult to read. Contact link does not work | | | | | |
| 1. Site is bias-free or explicitly states point of view. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Material is original or includes appropriate citations with no copyright infringement. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Correct punctuation, spelling, and grammar indicate care and attention to detail. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback | | | | | |
| 1. Site indicates date(s) that material was created or updated. | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| Please explain your feedback  I don’t see any dates. | | | | | |

**Appendix B: Data and Findings Charts**

**Table 1: Full Summary of Evaluation Scores**

|  |  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | ***User 1*** | ***User 2*** | ***User 3*** | ***Average*** |
| ***Total Points (out of 110)*** | ***87*** | ***77*** | ***87*** | ***83.7*** |
| ***Percentage*** | ***79*** | ***70*** | ***79*** | ***76.1*** |
| *Design* | | | |  |
| *Total Points (out of 45)* | *34* | *30* | *35* | ***33.0*** |
| *Percentage* | *75.6* | *66.7* | *77.8* | ***73.3*** |
| Category 1 | 5 | 4 | 5 | ***4.7*** |
| Category 2 | 2 | 4 | 2 | ***2.7*** |
| Category 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ***5.0*** |
| Category 4 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ***5.0*** |
| Category 5 | 3 | 2 | 4 | ***3.0*** |
| Category 6 | 1 | 2 | 3 | ***2.0*** |
| Category 7 | 3 | 3 | 5 | ***3.7*** |
| Category 8 | 5 | 2 | 4 | ***3.7*** |
| Category 9 | 5 | 3 | 2 | ***3.3*** |
| *Content* | | | |  |
| *Total Points (out of 35)* | *30* | *27* | *29* | ***28.7*** |
| *Percentage* | *85.7* | *77.1* | *82.9* | ***81.9*** |
| Category 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ***5.0*** |
| Category 2 | 5 | 3 | 5 | ***4.3*** |
| Category 3 | 1 | 2 | 2 | ***1.7*** |
| Category 4 | 4 | 5 | 5 | ***4.7*** |
| Category 5 | 5 | 3 | 2 | ***3.3*** |
| Category 6 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ***5.0*** |
| Category 7 | 5 | 4 | 5 | ***4.7*** |
| *Credibility* | | | |  |
| *Total Points (out of 30)* | 23 | 20 | 23 | ***22.0*** |
| *Percentage* | 76.7 | 66.7 | 76.7 | ***73.3*** |
| Category 1 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ***5.0*** |
| Category 2 | 3 | 1 | 2 | ***2.0*** |
| Category 3 | 5 | 5 | 5 | ***5.0*** |
| Category 4 | 5 | 3 | 5 | ***4.3*** |
| Category 5 | 3 | 4 | 5 | ***4.0*** |
| Category 6 | 2 | 2 | 1 | ***1.7*** |

**Table 2: Summary of Written Feedback**

|  |  |  |  |
| --- | --- | --- | --- |
|  | ***User 1*** | ***User 2*** | ***User 3*** |
| *Type of Computer* | Processor Intel(R) Core(TM) i5-6300U CPU @ 2.40GHz 2.50 GHz, System type 64-bit operating system, x64-based processor | Dell running with Windows 10 | Dell 7710 |
| *Browser* | Google Chrome Version 90.0.4430.212 (64-bit) | Chrome | Chrome Version 89.0.4389.128 |
| *Internet Connection* | guest connection to my wi-fi. | DSL | Cable |
| *Design* | | | |
| Category 1 | I mean this is pretty straight forward. You have a nav bar at the type divided into what I would expect to find on a website about your career. | Logical and I like the layout but several of the links do not currently work, mostly on the professional experiences page and contact link |  |
| Category 2 | I feel like the background colors and too bright and there are some floating quotes which I’m not used to in a website. I’m also wondering if you had to put that into the website as part of the assignment. Haha. I also think the font size is too big. I JUST SAW THE FOOTER!! Jon, that’s horrible. I would do something a little different with a solid blue line to match the title bar colors at the top with white text for your contact information. Then below that I’d make a site map so people can get back to where they want to go really quickly. You could even move your contact information to buttons. Check out my company’s website at their footer. You don’t need a picture but something like that: https://helpingpeople.org/. | I would center your Welcome banner text to match your navigation buttons. | Font sizes are somewhat inconsistent. |
| Category 3 | Nav bar in logical place. Lots of links to other pages of your website. Nav bar never goes away. I like it. |  | I would like to see the maximum width for the pages main text limited so the text does not fill the whole screen when the window is maximized. Same for the banners. |
| Category 4 | Yes. It is. Nav bar never goes away. |  | Some of the images expand and shrink with the window. This can be nice, but restrict your image sizes to so can’t get too big. I don’t like the colors, but what do I know about colors? Horizontal scrolling banner? Delete it. |
| Category 5 | Personally I wouldn’t have so many but that’s ok. | Links to social media don’t work currently. And there is an error message for your video on your “About Me” page | Flow of the website is nice. |
| Category 6 | I’m giving this a one for two reasons: your video resume wasn’t linked appropriately so it was a 404 error. And because when I clicked the link for your paper resume it didn’t open in a new link. That’s like a pet-peeve of mine for links taking you to an external page. <a href=”resume.pdf” target=”\_blank”>here</a>. |  | The video resume did not load. Everything else is fine. |
| Category 7 | I’m too lazy to check all the images. The couple I looked at didn’t have height attributes. But you don’t really need to do that from my understanding. | yes, but it really messes with your text boxes the way it’s setup |  |
| Category 8 |  | I don’t love the blue background with purple text once it is highlighted. Hard to read. | Weird font sizes |
| Category 9 |  |  | The links for your experiences do not work |
| *Content* | | | |
| Category 1 |  |  |  |
| Category 2 |  |  |  |
| Category 3 | Some of your links are broken. All references to the Contact page or the three subpages under Professional Experience are broken. I took a look at the code and it’s right so I’m not sure what happened. | Many of your links do not currently work | The 3 experience links and contact link do not work |
| Category 4 | This is true. But if this website is tailored toward parents/students/future jobs I would put your resume on the first page. |  |  |
| Category 5 |  |  |  |
| Category 6 |  |  |  |
| Category 7 | This is very clearly a website about Jon as a teacher for students/parents/future jobs. |  |  |
| *Credibility* | | | |
| Category 1 |  |  |  |
| Category 2 |  | It’s in your professional resume..too many layers deep to be convenient like all other info. Not currently working on contact link. I think it’s listed in your bottom of the page banner but I can’t read it with the current text. | Your email is at the bottom but it is very difficult to read. Contact link does not work |
| Category 3 |  |  |  |
| Category 4 |  |  |  |
| Category 5 | Some mistakes here and there. |  |  |
| Category 6 | No dates that I can see. | Again, I think this is in your lower page banner but I can’t read it. | I don’t see any dates. |